

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,341	03/22/2001	Nancy J. Bump	BBC-069	4413
7590 10/17/2006		EXAMINER		
Gayle B. O'Bri Abbott Bioresea			SMITH, CA	ROLYN L
100 Research Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Worcester, MA 01605-4314			1631	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/815,341	BUMP ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Carolyn L. Smith	1631	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	1		
.					
	Carolyn L. Smith	1631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress		
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft dice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply me	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. FILED WITHIN		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da l.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 September 2006</u>. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replantation.)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause		
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphane / mionamone	(1.102.02.1).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:	•				
Claim(s) rejected: 21-27,32 and 33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-20,28-31 and 3	<u>4-88</u> .				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:					
_	MARIO	ORIE A. MORAIN			
	PRIMA	RY EXAMINED	,		
	Ma	LIAMO B M	own		
\	1300	your a 19	10/06		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/815,341

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Proposed claim 21 adds a "crystallizing" limitation which would require further consideration and/or search .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: adding a "crystallizing" step limitation in the proposed amendment would require further consideration and/or search. Arguments to are directed to the amendments in the proposed claim set which have not been entered and are therefore unpersuasive.